

.

From: Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 12:00 PM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Cc: O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Subject: RE: Major Cities Chiefs Meeting

Julie, I was out Thursday, so I didn't get a chance to respond to your letter to the Chief. It looked very good and hopefully will lead to some positive action on their part. Secondly, Mai asked me if her daughter could work in the evidence office as volunteer this summer. I checked with ELO and she thought she could do something such as filing cards and paperwork. We haven't done this in the past, but it may be something to look at. Mai said volunteer, so it wouldn't cost anything. If you want to discuss with ELO and Me and see if it is doable, let me know. CBS

From: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 3:55 PM
To: 'mray@beverlyma.gov'
Cc: Salemi, Charles (DPH); Stevenson, Allan (DPH)
Subject: Major Cities Chiefs Meeting

Chief Ray,

It was nice to see you again at the Forensic Sciences Advisory Board meeting. Thanks for your offer to bring some of the DPH concerns to your meeting of the the major cities police chiefs. There are two specific issues that I hope you can address with the group this week:

1. Diverting some Middlesex County cities to the Amherst Laboratory for forensic drug testing. We would ask that 5 cities try this on a pilot basis. It would help alleviate some of the backlog and safety concerns regarding sample storage at the Boston laboratory. While the drive may be a little longer distance wise, it could be shorter time wise due to far less traffic in the westerly direction. ***The major advantage for cities to participate in the pilot would be a much reduced turn around time.*** The average turnaround time in Boston is currently 69 days and 21 days in Amherst. Even with the increased sample load, Amherst will be able to analyze and report their test results in approximately half the time of the Boston laboratory. Any city or town interested in participating should call or email me as soon as possible, so that we can begin expediting those samples. If successful, we could offer it to other communities.

2. Encourage officers to submit only the evidence required to make the case. For example, if the defendant has a bag of marijuana, marijuana cigarettes and stubs, it is unlikely that the laboratory will test all of these items since the results would not affect the charges filed. However, it takes valuable Drug laboratory staff time to log-in, visually examine and provide certificates for each of the items. If the police departments could help us by streamlining the samples submitted for analysis, we could use our resources more efficiently to analyze the evidence and report sample results.

Again, thanks for you help with this. If you think it would be helpful, either I or one of the Drug lab staff could come to one of your future meetings to discuss ideas and/or procedures related to forensic drug testing.

Julianne Nassif
Director, Division of Analytical Chemistry
William A Hinton State Laboratory Institute
Massachusetts Department of Public Health
305 South Street Boston, MA 01230
voice 617-983-6651 fax 617-983-6662
julianne.nassif@state.ma.us